

## ISSUES IN PERSPECTIVE

Dr. James P. Eckman, President Emeritus  
Grace University, Omaha, Nebraska  
29 December 2012

### *Evil in Newtown, Connecticut*

As a nation, the monstrous evil in Newtown, Connecticut will haunt us during the 2012 Christmas season. It is unimaginable that someone could kill 20 children, all of them either 6 or 7 years old. How could this occur? How could God, who is good, permit such horror? This despicable act of evil will now doubt affect public policy decisions on gun control and mental health care. But it also serves to remind us that nowhere is truly safe. Evil will always find a way to raise its ugly head. To help us process this indescribable terror, I am drawing on some comments of several months ago on *Issues in Perspective*. May these comments give us a context and help us as we pray that God will bring good out of such sadness and loss.

Of all the challenges Christians face in defending their faith, the existence of evil is one of the most formidable. We claim that God is good, yet there is suffering, pain and monstrous acts of barbarity (e.g., the Holocaust, the butchery of Joseph Stalin and the horrific persecutions in Communist China). Add to that the existence of mass murderers, serial killers and the monotonous regularity of murder in our cities. Evil has a deep, penetrating darkness to it and, when it comes to personal motivation of those who do evil, there is an almost impenetrable wall that defies investigation. Perhaps that is why we use terms such as “irrational, indescribable and unspeakable” when we discuss it. Philosophers and ethicists often divide evil into categories: There is “moral evil,” which focuses on human acts of evil. There is “natural evil,” by which we mean horrible events associated with nature—tornadoes, earthquakes and tsunamis. Finally, there is “metaphysical evil,” which includes the mortality of humans, as well as their finiteness and limited power to control things. It seems that the more we study and think about evil, the more perplexing it becomes. Quite frankly, there are no easy answers; there are no silver bullets. It seems to be a significant vulnerability for Christianity, especially biblical Christianity. Indeed, the “Four Horsemen of the New Atheism”—Christopher Hitchens [now deceased], Sam Harris, Richard Dawkins and Daniel Dennett—among their many arguments, raise the issue of evil quite powerfully and centrally in their critiques of religious belief.

So, all of this begs a question: Where is God in all this? Such a question is rather central to the Old Testament book of Job. The emotion and apparent hopelessness evil produces are evident in many of the Psalms. And one cannot ignore the issue of evil in many of the narrative portions of both the Old and New Testaments (e.g., Joseph, Daniel, and Judas). During the European Enlightenment, the Scottish philosopher, David Hume, focused on the problem of evil in his philosophical classic of 1779, *Dialogues Concerning Natural Religion*: “Is God willing to prevent evil, but not able? Then he is impotent. Is he able, but not willing? Then he is malevolent. Is he both able and willing? Whence then is evil?” As Clare Carlisle of *The Guardian* comments, “For many critics of theistic religion—and Hume can be included among them—this is not a problem to be solved, but a basic and definitive objection to belief in a creator God.” But even those who embrace a secular/atheistic worldview must still explain evil.

If evolution has as its core the belief that survival drives natural selection, then evil seems to be self-destructive, not self-protecting and self-preserving. In addition, the matter of evil is inextricably linked to other critical ethical issues—e.g., the meaning, purpose and value of life. Further, if there is no God, then what is the basis for ethical decision-making? If there are no absolute, transcendent standards for defining good, then perhaps defining evil is also thoroughly subjective. On what basis do we actually reach the conclusion that something is evil? The early church theologian Augustine argued that we know something is evil because we have come to know the good, which is God. Evil, he suggested, is the gross distortion of that which is good. That would certainly apply to pornography, to bestiality, to pre- and extra-marital sexual activity. Each is a gross distortion of the beautiful and good, which God defines in marriage.

Nonetheless, I believe we must go back to the Creation Ordinance of God, recorded for us in the early chapters of Genesis. In doing so, permit me to propose a framework for thinking biblically about evil. It is just that, a framework. But I believe that it is a valid starting point for thinking about evil, its origin and its resolution in Jesus Christ.

- 1. PROPOSITION #1: EVIL, SUFFERING AND PAIN RESULTED FROM HUMANITY'S REJECTION OF GOD.** One of the primary themes of Scripture is that we live in a fallen world. What exactly does that mean? The Bible makes it clear that God is trinity and theologians define God as trinity in this manner: God is one essence of three persons who differ relationally (Father, Son and Spirit) and functionally (e.g., see Ephesians 1:4-14). According to 1 John 4, "God is love." Since this is a predicate nominative, this declaration says something about the character and nature of God. For all eternity, the Father has loved the Son, the Son the Father and so on (see the Gospel of John, especially 5:19-24 and chapters 14-17). Within the godhead there has always been eternal love and communion. According to Genesis 1, God made the decision to create and the crown of His creation was the creation of humanity, created in His image (see Genesis 1:26ff). At the least, bearing His image means that human beings have the capacity to not only express love and communion with one another, but more importantly with God. So, what the godhead has enjoyed for all eternity is now possible for the image-bearers of God. But are humans robots or automatons that bow down and love God at His command? Or did God create His world to be populated with image-bearers who would voluntarily love Him? For that to occur, He needed to take the risk that those humans would reject Him, which is exactly what they did (see Genesis 3 and the rest of Scripture). According to Romans 1:18-3:20, that rejection is total and complete, for it involves the rejection of His revelation in creation, conscience and moral law. And, Romans 1:18-32 details the natural results of this rejection. There we see recorded the downward spiral of self-destructive choices that result from that rejection. Evil, then, has its origins in humanity, not God. Since God created a universe with His moral law as its foundation, there are natural consequences in rejecting that moral law. But God did not abandon His creation nor His image-bearers. He began a plan, first mentioned in Genesis 3:15, to win them back—to reconcile them to Himself.
- 2. PROPOSITION #2: GOD'S SOLUTION IS THE CROSS.** God's plan was to have the second person of the Trinity, the Son, add to His deity humanity and die for the rebellious image-bearers. According to the prophecies detailed in Isaiah 52 and 53, He would die for His people and God the Father would pour out His wrath upon Him. The Gospels detail the

execution of God's plan, its completion at the cross and the resurrection. God paid the price of the rebellion, death, and thereby defeated the chief rebel, Satan. Christ became a victim of monstrous, horrific evil to eradicate evil from this world. In short, God's justice and His grace met at the cross. That is how God has defeated evil and how He will vanquish evil from this planet. And He will populate the new heaven and the new earth with His image bearers who choose to place their faith in His Son, the Lord Jesus Christ. In other words, God has chosen to eliminate evil by becoming its victim and thereby, in what seemed like a defeat, was actually an astounding triumph of His grace and His compassion. Jesus did indeed "pay it all." The cross, then, is the vital center of genuine, biblical Christianity, for through it God has reconciled humanity to Himself. Those who choose to love Him do so in faith. As John says, "we love Him because He first loved us" (1 John 4:19).

3. **PROPOSITION #3:** Christ's return and eternity will restore what was lost in the Fall. Isaiah 65 and Revelation 21 and 22 speak of the new heaven and new earth, the destiny of those who love God. What was lost by the human choice of rebellion will be restored when Christ returns for those who have chosen to love Him. The love and communion that the godhead has enjoyed for all eternity will now be enjoyed by all humans, created in His image and now redeemed by His grace, who choose to love Him. The fellowship and communion that the first humans knew in their walk with God will be restored.

Sir Arthur Conan Doyle wrote the series of books on Sherlock Holmes, a series which I love to read. In his "The Adventures of the Cardboard Box," Doyle has Holmes grappling with the question of evil: "'What is the meaning of it Watson?' said Holmes solemnly as he laid down the paper. 'What object is served by this circle of misery and violence and fear? It must tend to some end, or else our universe is ruled by chance, which is unthinkable. But what end? There is the great standing perennial problem to which human reason is as far from an answer as ever.'" Holmes is correct, for without God's written revelation, we have no answer to evil. But through it, we understand its origin and we understand its resolution—in Jesus Christ. Jesus' death, burial and resurrection are the means by which God is destroying evil. Each human being must appropriate that finished work by faith. Once we do that, eternity and an eternal fellowship and love with the godhead and with one another constitute our destiny.

As we mourn with the Newtown families this 2012 Christmas season, let us also reflect on the manger and the cross and how they intersect. The columnist Ross Douthat perceptively writes: ". . . the Christmas story isn't just the manger and the shepherds and the baby Jesus, meek and mild. The rage of Herod is there as well, and the slaughtered innocents of Bethlehem, and the myrrh that prepares bodies for the grave. The cross looms behind the stable—the shadow of violence, agony and death. In the leafless hills of western Connecticut, this is the only Christmas spirit that could possibly matter now."

See Clare Carlisle in *The Guardian* (16 October 2012); Randy Alcorn, *If God is Good: Faith in the Midst of Suffering and Evil*, pp. 47-94; Ross Douthat in the *New York Times* (16 December 2012).